## Extract from Hansard

[COUNCIL - Tuesday, 24 June 2008] p4316c-4317a Hon Barry House; Hon Adele Farina

## BUILDING DISPUTES TRIBUNAL — DISPUTE — COMPLAINT NO 0.4920

6339. Hon Barry House to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Consumer Protection

I refer to the order to remedy issued by the Building Disputes Tribunal (BDT), No 254/2004-05, on 21 January 2005, in relation to a dispute between Tangent Nominees and Mr Willis, complaint No 0.4920 —

- (1) Has the action required of the builder through the issue of this order been completed?
- (2) If no to (1), how is it possible for the builder (Tangent Nominees) to ignore the order to remedy for three and a half years without repercussion?
- (3) How many 'Orders to Remedy' have been issued by the BDT in the past five years?
- (4) How many of them have been resolved?
- (5) How many cases have been heard before the BDT over the past five years?
- (6) In how many of these cases have the Tribunal ruled in favour of the builder?

## Hon ADELE FARINA replied:

- (1) No
- (2) Order to Remedy 254/2004-05 issued on 21 January 2005 was set aside by the Tribunal on 12 June 2006, on the basis that Mr Willis may seek an Order to Pay in lieu thereof. Subsequently, an Order to Pay in favour of Mr Willis was subsequently made by the Tribunal on 28 November 2007, which the builder complied with.
- (3) 1941
- (4) 1584
- (5) 1666
- (6) Statistics relating to cases ruled in favour of either party are not recorded, as an Order may contain a number of items, which may be in favour of the builder and/or the owner.